

Minimising Energy in Construction

Dr John Orr MEng (hons) PhD CEng MIStructE FHEA

RECBE Meeting 24th September 2018





MEICON

- "Minimising Energy in Construction"
 - www.meicon.net

RA: Michal Drewniok – <u>mpd43@cam.ac.uk</u> PI: John Orr – <u>jjo33@cam.ac.uk</u>

- EPSRC Energy Feasibility Study
 - Our long-term vision is for the built environment to be designed costeffectively, based on whole life cycle energy consumption using minimum material resource for appropriate performance. Our immediate ambition is to use feasibility studies to identify and address sources of wasted embodied energy, value-less cost, and performance over-design in the construction industry to transform sector wide design practice and define the research areas that will underpin this transformation.



First MEICON Report



- Survey of structural engineering practitioners to examine culture and practice in structural engineering design relating to embodied energy
- First report PDF download from <u>www.meicon.net/survey2018</u>
- Analysis results in 21 Research Questions and 18 Industry Questions (Michal has circulated)
- Please help us answer them (link above or email jjo33@cam.ac.uk)



First MEICON Paper



Full length article

Minimising energy in construction: Practitioners views on material efficiency

John Orr^{a,} , Michał P. Drewniok^a, Ian Walker^b, Tim Ibell^c, Alexander Copping^c, Stephen Emmitt^c

⁸ Department of Engineering, University of Cambridge, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, CB2 1PZ, UK
^b Department of Psychology, University of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath, BA2 7AY, UK
^c Department of Architecture & Civil Engineering, University of Bath, North Road, Bath, BA2 7AY, UK

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Krywords Structural design Material utilisation Efficiency Embodied energy Design methods	The built environment accounts for 39% of global energy related CO ₂ emissions, and construction generates 13% of global GDP. Recent success in reducing operational energy and the introduction of strict targets for near-zer energy buildings mean that embodied energy is becoming the dominant component of whole life energy con sumption in buildings. One strategy that may be key to achieving emissions reductions is to use materials as eff ciently as possible. Yet research has shown that real buildings use structural material inefficiently, with wastag in the order of 50% being common. Two plauible mechanisms are 1) that some engineers hold individual am conceptions, or 2) that inefficiency is a cultural phenomenon, whereby engineers automatically and unquestion ingly repeat previous methods without sassessing their rune suitability. This paper presents a survey of 129 er gineering practitioners that examined both culture and practice in design relating to material efficiency. Th results reveal wide variations and uncertainty in both regulated and cultural bariours. For the first time, w demonstrate that embodied energy efficiency is not a high priority, with habitual over-design resulting in mor expensive buildings that consume more of our material resource than necessary. We show wide variability is measures that engineers should agree on and propose research through which these culture and individual issue might furtifully be tackfall within the timeframes required by climate science.

1. Introduction

Global warming is partly caused by increasing greenhouse gas (GHG) concentrations in the atmosphere, particularly carbon dioxide. About half of cumulative anthropogenic CO₂ emissions between 1750 and 2010 occurred in the last 40 years (Field et al., 2014). Emissions from fossil fuels and industrial processes represent 65% of all greenhouse gas emissions (Field et al., 2014), To limit future impacts of climate change, and to meet the emissions targets set by the Paris Agreement (UN, 2015) significant reductions in GHC emissions are necessary. Indeed, some scenarios will require extraction of CO₂ from the atmosphere (Hansen et al., 2017). The European Union low carbon road map requires an 80% reduction in domestic emissions by 2050 compared to 1990 (European Commission, 2011) and the UK Climate Change Act 2008 includes cimilar transer (UM Concurrence 2009).

(Equite an Gor) Actional in Gondard Changend Core 1990 (European Commission, 2011) and the UK Climate Change Act 2008 includes similar targets (HM Government, 2008). The built environment is estimated to account for around 36% of global final energy use and 39% of energy related CO₂ emissions

Corresponding author. Email address: jjo33@cam.ac.uk (J. Orr)

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2018.09.015 Received 28 June 2018; Received in revised form 6 September 2018; Accepted 6 September 2018 Available online xxx 0921-34449 © 2018.

(International Energy Agency, 2017a). In 2015, the manufacturing of materials for building construction accounted for 11% of global energy related O₂ emissions (International Energy Agency, 2017b) - around haff of all world steel production is used in buildings and infrastructure (World Steel Association, 2017; Allwood et al., 2012). About 13% of global GDP is generated by construction (McKinsey and Company, 2017) and activity in this sector creates the underpinning buildings and

infrastructure that make all other sectors productive. Lifetime carbon emissions associated with a building or asset are composed of 1) emissions arising from energy consumption during use (operational emissions) and 2) emissions associated the building materials and maintenance (embodied emissions) (BS EN 15978, 2011). Assuming a 60-year building lifespan, whole life embodied carbon emissions in new office and residential buildings in the UK are already estimated at 67% and 69% respectively (RICS, 2017). Success in reducing operational energy consumption means that embodied energy is now the dominant component of whole life energy consumption (European Commission, 2010; Moynihan and Allwood, 2014; Cabeza et al., 2013; Pacheco-Torgat et al., 2013; as illustrated in Fig. 1.

- Paper with results in Resources, Conservation and Recycling (accepted for publication)
- Will circulate link at a later date



Evening meeting

- There will be an evening meeting at IStructE HQ to present the results in detail and open the report to discussion
- Date **TBC** but will be before December
- We will circulate this information shortly



Minimising Energy in Construction



UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE Design occupancy for office building with 16 floors and 30,000m² office area Calculations are approximate to illustrate variation between disciplines.

www.meicon.net

Ve	ntilation	3,000 people
BSRI	A Rules of Thumb Guidelines for Building Services 5th Edition, Table 3	
10m ²	per person = 3,000 people	
Sp	ace Planning	3,750 people
BCO	Specification for Offices, 2014	t t t t
Low I	Density = $8m^2$ per person = 3,750 people Density = $13m^2$ per person = 2,308 people	
Fir	e Design	7,500 people
BS 9	999:2017 Table 9, Typical Office Floor Space Factors	
High Low I	Density = $4m^2$ per person = 7,500 people Density = $10m^2$ per person = 3,000 people	
Str	uctural Design	85,500 people
Ultim	ate Limit State, γ_q = 1.5 (live load partial factor), α_N = 0.50 (reduction factor at ground floor column)	• •••••••••••••• •••••••••••••••••••••
q _k = Total <i>With</i>	$3kN/m^2$ over 95% of floor area (Typical value not including partitions or 5% more heavily loaded are load ($\gamma_q \alpha_N q_k A$) = 64MN. Assuming each occupant = 0.75kN = 85,500 people out reduction α_N = 171,000 people	eas) IQ4: What might the benefit be of design code floor loading values being based on data gathered
Serv Total <i>With</i>	ceability Limit State, $\gamma_q = 1.0$ (partial factor for live load), $\alpha_n = 0.5$ (reduction factor for multi-storey) load ($\gamma_q \alpha_N \alpha_A q_k A$) = 43MN. Assuming single occupant 0.75kN = 57,000 people <i>put reduction</i> $\alpha_{i,i}$ = 114.000 people	from a systematic global survey of loading levels in buildings?
	$\mathbf{N} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{P} = -\mathbf{P} = -$	Help answer this, and other
		"Industry Questions" given in our
	BATH	http://bit.lv/meiconreport

Thank you



