



Comparing The Embodied Carbon and Cost of Concrete Floor Solutions

Amila Jayasinghe, John Orr, Tim Ibell, William P Boshoff

8th RECBE (on-line) meeting, 25th February 2022

The Construction Industry, Carbon and ...





Embodied Carbon vs Cost



Possible Variation of Embodied Carbon for Different Concrete Mixes: *Gibbons and Orr (2020)* Global Variation of Gross National Income (GNI) Per Capita: The World Bank (2020)



Cost and Embodied Carbon of Different Slab Types





Concept V4



| C The Concrete Centre | | NCEPT sults (summary) | | PROJECT / No.: 1000 New Building CLIENT: Client DATE: 09/11/21 | | | | CONCEPT.xls version 4.2 | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| Geometry Loads | | Rates | Results | Results Cost su | | Member sizes | View plan | View sec | tions: | 3D view | |
| Total | Cost (selected solution) = | Tir | Time = 10.9 weeks (estimate for superstructure only) | | | | GIFA= 1,786 m ² | | | | |
| SCHEME | Solution | Constructio cost £k – | n Cost pe RANK m2 GIF £/m | r Construction A time a ² Days | Total cost cost £k | Tota RANK | l cost 2 GIFA Cost £/m ² £k | Structure m2 GIFA I £/m ² | Superstructure ISEC tCO2e | Superstructure ISEC per GIFA kgCO ₂ /m ² | |
| S2 Two-way S11 Ribbed SI, S3 Post-tensi S13 Hollowcor S10 Ribbed SI, S11 Hollowcor S11 Hollowcor S12 Hollowcor S13 Hollowcor S14 Hollowcor S15 Flat Slab S8 Ribbed SI, S5 One-way S6 One-way S7 One-way Notes: CONSTRUCTOR STRUCTURE STRUCTURE | Slab builde Beam, spanning in Y ioned flat slab re, spanning in Y ab - Wide Beam, spanning in X re, spanning in X ab, spanning in X Slab, spanning in Y Slab, spanning in Y Slab, spanning in X Slab - Wide Beam, spanning in Slab - Wide Bea | £199 £254 £226 £210 £254 £211 £284 £284 £225 Y £227 Y £231 haterial, construction, S + COST OF TIME DIFF S + COST OF TIME DIFF DOLUMNS, GROUND FLC | 4 f112 4 f142 4 f118 4 f118 4 f118 4 f118 4 f118 4 f118 4 f119 4 f1126 4 f126 4 f126 4 f128 4 f129 prelims & external work f129 prelims & external work fERENCE WOR SLAB, FOUNDATION SLAB, FOUNDATION | 60 63 48 54 63 54 63 63 63 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 87 87 | £199k £254k £226k £210k £254k £210k £254k £210k £210k £211k £284k £2284k £2284k £228k £229k £231k ectrical, cladding | f112 f142 f126 f118 f142 f118 f119 f159 f159 f159 f126 f126 f128 f129 t, & allows for stairs, shee | £86k £108k £122k £147k £107k £147k £107k £148k £134k £116k £112k £112k £112k £120k £122k | £48 £60 £68 £83 £60 £83 £75 £65 £65 £65 £65 £63 £63 £67 £68 | 156 175 178 181 182 183 197 198 198 204 204 204 207 212 See if actions re | 87.5 98.1 99.4 101.6 102.0 102.5 110.4 110.9 110.9 114.0 114.4 116.2 118.9 equired: | |
| Office Floor Loading Superimposed dead load – 0.85 kN/m ² Imposed load - 2.5 kN/m ² | | | | | | | Economic Concrete Frame Elements to Eurocode 2 Are scheme handbook for the rapid sizing and selection of reinforced concrete frame elements in multi-storey buildings designed to Eurocode 2 | | | | |

The Concrete Centre (2020) 'Cost and Carbon: Concept V4'. London: The Concrete Centre.



Variation of Cost and Embodied Carbon with Span





Variation of Cost and Embodied Carbon with Span





Column Layout Optimisation





Effect of Adopted Cost Rates





Effect of Adopted Carbon Coefficients





Why Pareto Optimal Solutions Didn't Change



(a) Amount of concrete required for different forms of slabs

(b) Amount of formwork required for different forms of slabs

For 7 m x 7 m column grid



Passive vs Active Reinforcement





Effect of Construction Time and Storey Height



slabs, beams and columns



- Flat Slabs for 4 m column spacing
- Two-way Slab for 4 m column spacing
- Post-tensioned flat slab for 4 m column spacing
- One-way slab for 4 m column spacing
- One-way slab wide beam for 4 m column spacing
- Ribbed Slab for 4 m column spacing
- Troughed Slab for 4 m column spacing
- Hollowcore slabs for 4 m column spacing
- Pareto Frontier for 4 m column spacing
- Flat Slabs for 6 m column spacing
- Two-way Slab for 6 m column spacing
- Post-tensioned flat slab for 6 m column spacing
- One-way slab for 6 m column spacing
- One-way slab wide beam for 6 m column spacing
- ✗ Ribbed Slab for 6 m column spacing
- Troughed Slab for 6 m column spacing
- Hollowcore slabs for 6 m column spacing
- Pareto Frontier for 6 m column spacing
- Flat Slabs for 8 m column spacing Two-way Slab for 8 m column spacing Post-tensioned flat slab for 8 m column spacing One-way slab for 8 m column spacing One-way slab - wide beam for 8 m column spacing Ribbed Slab for 8 m column spacing Troughed Slab for 8 m column spacing Hollowcore slabs for 8 m column spacing
- O Pareto Frontier for 8 m column spacing

×



Conclusions

- $\circ~$ Different slab types \rightarrow Different cost and carbon for different spans
- Optimum column layout depends on slab type
- Cost or Carbon vs column spacing Nonlinear
- \circ Decisions from Pareto optimal solutions \leftrightarrow Compare relative gains and losses
- Two-way slabs on beams and Hollow-core slabs mostly Pareto Optimal
- \circ Dependability of adopted cost or carbon coefficients \leftrightarrow Patterns in Optimisation



CHURCHILL COLLEGE CAMBRIDGE



Structures Research Group

Special Thanks to

- Dr John Orr
- Prof Tim Ibell
- Prof William Boshoff
- Dr Michal Drewniok
- Dr Will Hawkins
- Dr Robin Oval

Thank You!

